## STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

NI IPUC 215EP'18rx12:32

September 5, 2018 -1:35 p.m. Concord, New Hampshire

> RE: DG 18-092 LIBERTY UTILITIES (ENERGYNORTH NATURAL GAS) CORP., D/B/A LIBERTY UTILITIES PETITION FOR LICENSE TO CONSTRUCT AND MAINTAIN A NATURAL GAS PIPELINE BENEATH THE ASHUELOT RIVER IN KEENE (Prehearing Conference)

PRESENT:

Chairman Martin P. Honigberg, Presiding Commissioner Kathryn M. Bailey

Commissioner Michael S. Giaimo

Sandy Deno, Clerk

APPEARANCES: Reptg. Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth

Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty

Utilities:

Michael J. Sheehan, Esq.

Rptg. Terry Clark, Intervenor:

Richard M. Husband, Esq.

Reptg. PUC Staff:

Paul B. Dexter, Esq.

Court Reporter: Susan J. Robidas, NH LCR No. 44

			2
1	INDEX		
2			
3			
4	PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY:	PAGE	
5	Mr. Sheehan	6	
6	Mr. Dexter	11	
7	Mr. Husband	14	
8			
9	PUBLIC COMMENTS BY:		
10	Mr. Majoy	19	
11	Ms. Skuly	21	
12	Mr. Davis	22	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

## PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG:

afternoon, everyone. Please be seated. We are here this afternoon in Docket DG 18-092 for a prehearing conference on Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp.'s petition for a license to construct and maintain a natural gas pipeline beneath the Ashuelot River in Keene. Before we do anything else, let's take appearances from the Company and from Staff.

MR. SHEEHAN: Good afternoon,
Commissioners. Mike Sheehan for Liberty
Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas.) With me
are: Steve Mullen; Andy Mills, who is the
engineer who designed this project; and Steve
Rokes, who's the manager of our Keene
Division.

MR. DEXTER: Good afternoon,

Commissioners. My name is Paul Dexter, Staff

Counsel, representing Staff in this matter.

Joining me today are the director and

assistant director of the Commission's Safety

and Security Divisions, Randy Knepper and

	_
1	Paul Kasper.
2	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
3	I'm aware of one petition to intervene filed
4	by Mr. Husband on behalf of Mr. Clark. I see
5	Mr. Husband back there.
6	MR. HUSBAND: Thank you,
7	Commissioners. Yes, Attorney Husband here
8	today with my client, Terry Clark.
9	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
10	Any position from the Company or from Staff
11	on the petition to intervene?
12	MR. SHEEHAN: The Company will not
13	object to this petition in this docket.
14	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Dexter.
15	MR. DEXTER: Staff has no objection
16	to the petition.
17	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Husband,
18	is Mr. Clark an abutter to the crossing
19	location?
20	MR. HUSBAND: No.
21	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So the only
22	grounds you've articulated, as I understand
23	it, is that the crossing is part of Liberty's
24	larger plans in Keene. Mr. Clark is a party

to proceedings related to that, and therefore, he should be a party here? Is that how it works for you?

MR. HUSBAND: And also a resident of Keene, yes.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Well, I'm

telling you all residents of Keene do not

standing to intervene in this matter. I'm

telling you that now. So you're going to

have to come up with something more specific.

And I think you articulated what I just said

as something more specific in your petition.

Do I have that right?

MR. HUSBAND: You have that right.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'm not certain that that's the right kind of direct interest in a crossing petition to have standing here to intervene. We're going to take the matter under advisement. But for today, you're welcome to participate as any member of the public would and stay for the technical session that's going to follow and interact with the parties as you normally would. And we'll issue an order later on the

petition to intervene. Okay? 1 2 MR. HUSBAND: Okay thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. 3 Are there other preliminary matters before we 4 5 hear from the parties with their preliminary positions? 6 7 [No verbal response] 8 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I see nothing. Mr. Sheehan, why don't you start us off. 9 PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS 10 11 MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. I'd like to start with a couple of corrections or 12 clarifications to the petition itself. 13 14 In the opening paragraph, in the 15 prayer for relief, I asked for the Commission 16 to approve the license to maintain a "natural gas pipeline." The line is now being used 17 for propane air and will be continued to be 18 used for propane air until if and when we 19 20 convert the system to natural gas. 21 The second correction is in 22 Paragraph 6, I refer to the easement that we

paragraph correctly states the Company will

need to get from the City of Keene.

23

have the easement in place prior to construction. Later on I make a statement in Paragraph 10 that we have all easements that are required. So we don't have an easement from Keene yet. We will obtain that should the petition be granted.

And last was a suggestion from the City of Keene in my opening paragraph talking about Keene repairing the bridge. My statement seemed to make that more of a certainty than is actually the case. Keene plans to replace or repair this bridge, but it may be further off in the future than this petition suggests. So that was an editorial comment that the City of Keene asked that I make here today.

As for our position on this petition, we are asking the Commission to approve a crossing of the Ashuelot River to install a new pipe. The reasons that the requirements under the law for us to do that are stated in the petition, and that is that we must show that the proposed crossing is necessary for Liberty to meet the reasonable

requirements of reliable service to the public and that the requested license may be exercised without substantially affecting the public rights and the waters in question.

Taking the second one first, we are going to -- we plan to install a pipe underneath the river by a horizontal directional drill. We will not touch the river. We will be beneath it. There will be no impact to the public's rights to use the river. Now, there may be a temporary -- I'm not sure how the HDD happen, if there's a temporary pause while they're drilling. But that would be the extent of any interference with the public's rights.

And the other requirement is that the crossing is necessary for Liberty to meet the reasonable requirements of reliable service. We are proposing -- the plan is as follows: There is an existing crossing nearby, about a block away, that is hanging on a bridge. It was installed on the bridge, as some crossings are. That is the only pipe that serves a particular line of customers.

By adding this second crossing, it achieves two service goals: The first is it is a redundancy should there be a problem with the existing pipe, we can close it down and still serve customers with the new pipe. So there is a reliability piece of it there. similarly, if and when the City does repair this bridge, we can also turn off that pipe, the existing one, during construction. wouldn't have to do a more complex work-around during construction. We would have the second system. So, for both reliability reasons and the repair of the bridge, this is reasonable for the service of our customers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We filed a petition hoping to do construction this year. We have a very favorable quote from the drilling company that is roughly half of what they typically cost because this particular company had some room in their schedule this fall. There is a risk we lose that schedule with this proceeding. And we understand we will probably get -- the other two quotes we

received are twice as much, \$60-, \$70,000 versus \$30,000. So there is decent chance that come next year we get all quotes in the \$60-, \$70,000 range. So there is a cost impact as well. And that's our position in this case.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You articulated an intention to show the purpose for the crossing. You're familiar with Order No. 25,910 --

MR. SHEEHAN: Not by number.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: It's from the Northern Pass proceedings relating to the scope of a crossing docket.

MR. SHEEHAN: I reviewed it when it came out. I haven't read it recently.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: They do place limits on the scope of the purpose review during a crossings proceeding. I think Mr. Mullen may be pulling it up for you now. It's something you might want to review. I would certainly recommend that Mr. Husband and his client and others review it if they need some more information about what the

scope of review of a crossings docket is.

MR. HUSBAND: Sir, if I could ask you one more time for the number on that?

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: It's 25,910.

It's dated June 28, 2016.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

MR. HUSBAND: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.

Thank you, Mr.

Mr. Husband, I'll come to you third. I'll let Mr. Dexter do his remarks next.

Chairman. The Commission sees a lot of these crossings dockets, and the Staff will take

crossing dockets, which is to do a thorough

investigation of what's been submitted by the
Company and make a recommendation at the

the approach that they take in all the

appropriate point in time. We don't have a

MR. DEXTER:

position on the crossing. There are some

19 questions that Staff plans to look at in the

20 tech session and potentially through

21 discovery. Mr. Sheehan toughed on a few of

22 them already. Staff is particularly

interested in the situation of the two

bridges and the possibility of one being

repaired and the timing of all that and whether or not the redundancy that Mr.

Sheehan referred to is significant enough, if the benefit is significant enough to meet the statutory standard.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I recommend you read that case as well, Mr. Dexter.

MR. DEXTER: Absolutely. Staff will be looking at the easements that have been obtained, in addition to the one that needs to be obtained from the City. And the petition also mentions an upgrade to the West Street pipe. Staff is interested in the status of the upgrade, as well as the status of the repair to the drainage at the Winchester Street bridge that was mentioned in the petition. All this goes to the question of whether or not the crossing is reasonably necessary. Staff does not plan to review energy alternatives, natural gas or propane gas versus renewables, as was mentioned in several comments that were filed with the Commission. We don't believe that's appropriate for the scope of a crossing

docket.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: This petition was filed the end of may. Has Staff started working with the Company on discovery and data requests?

MR. DEXTER: Yes, the Company -Staff did issue some data requests, and the
Company responded to those earlier in the
summer. I don't have an exact date on the
responses. But, yes, Staff has begun its
investigation.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner Bailey.

CMSR. BAILEY: Does Staff have any plans to review safety, or is that not something that you do with an HDD and the pipeline?

MR. DEXTER: I'd like to defer that question to the director of safety.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Knepper.

MR. KNEPPER: Yes. As listed in the Order of Notice, we'll look at how it meets the requirements of 49 part -- CFR Part 192. We'll probably look also at -- although

18-092} [Prehearing Conference] {09-05-18}

it's not a standard, we'll probably look at how it incorporates the Best Practices or Good Practices Guidelines for horizontal directional drills, which is a standard out there put together by the North American Trenchless Technology Group.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.

Before I turn to you, Mr. Husband, I'll note
that there are some members of the public who
stated they wish to speak. I'll give people
a chance to do that in a few minutes.

Mr. Husband.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. HUSBAND: Thank you, again, Mr. Chairman. It is Mr. Clark's position that we are now looking at a petition that makes many factual assertions which must be proven. As you know in the PUC rules, the burden of proof is n the petitioner. There's been no opportunity yet to test any of the allegations or claims in the petition, and that would require discovery. We would ask that there be some period of discovery to allow for this. There are many issues that have been raised and appear to be still

coming up.

There was a filing by the Ashuelot River Advisory Committee, I believe, which noted a number of issues, some which I couldn't get to asking my own discovery questions until I know the responses to those. So I think that there has to be a period of discovery certainly far beyond today's technical session.

Mr. Clark's concern set forth in the petition will largely be addressed if this proceeding goes forward as it has been noticed as to the issues and what I'm hearing the PUC Staff Attorney say they intend to explore. But I want to make it clear that Mr. Clark is concerned with the actual intent of this project. He is petitioning to intervene as an ordinary citizen. But he has also been a city councilor in Keene, and he knows from his involvement with the council that the terms of this project being ready to go next month, I guess, there are two permits that are needed from the city council for this project to go forward, and they have not

been granted. One is not on the agenda yet and has not even been asked for to be placed on the agenda. He also knows that this proposed river crossing is a half-mile from the other pipeline crossing. So the purported convenience of locating it next to another one and avoiding all -- you know, having two pipelines side by side so work could be done on one while the other is shut down doesn't really seem to apply. The fact is the pipeline seems, from all the conversations he's heard at the city council the last couple years, seems to be directed supplying gas to people in the mall area of Keene. That's why it really seems that this petition is all about getting approval up front to go forward with starting the conversion that is the subject of DG 17-068, which is still under consideration. approval's been given there. It's looking to get a approval that is really contingent the approval in that proceeding. And this approval ultimately should be conditioned on that. But there has to be discovery in the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	meantime before we get there.
2	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You made
3	reference to the Ashuelot River Local
4	Advisory Committee filing, which I have. It
5	was filed as comments. They didn't, as far
6	as I could tell, seek intervenor status. Is
7	there anyone here who represents the Ashuelot
8	River Advisory Committee?
9	Yes, ma'am. Would you identify
10	yourself, please.
11	MS. SKULY: I'm Barbara Skuly. I'm
12	chairman of the Ashuelot River Local Advisory
13	Committee, and I wrote that.
14	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Coincidentally
15	I see your name here on the list of people
16	who wish to speak.
17	MS. SKULY: Correct.
18	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Is it your
19	intention to seek intervenor status in this
20	proceeding?
21	MS. SKULY: Not at this time.
22	Is that not working?
23	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: It's not.
24	Let's go off the record.

18-092} [Prehearing Conference] {09-05-18}

(Discussion off the record.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. SKULY: No, we are not seeking intervenor status at this time.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. You should probably speak with Staff, the Company, and probably Mr. Husband about how -- about the ways you can participate and make your positions known. You've done a good job with this letter. I mean, you guys obviously know how to participate in proceedings generally. I can tell that by the quality of this letter. But there are things that you can do as an intervenor that you can't do as a member of the public. can do lots as a member of the public, as a commenter. You can observe, you can see -you can get access to virtually everything that happens. But it's a slightly different status, and I think you should have a conversation with some folks about whether it might make sense for you to seek intervenor status. And I'll give you a chance to speak in just a moment.

18-092} [Prehearing Conference] {09-05-18}

Anything else before we turn to

1	members of the public who wish	to speak?
2	[No verbal response]	
3	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG:	All right

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. I have, I think, two. Ms. Skuly, you're already here. And the other one is Peter Majoy? And you'd also like to speak? All right. Why don't you go first. Just make sure the mic is on and close enough to you.

Just before you start, I think it may be correct that you sent us a letter as well that we just received.

MR. MAJOY: Yes. But what I would like permission to do is to read parts of that letter that I had sent you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We won't be reading from your letter. We have it. It's here. It's part of our record. It's in the docket. If there's something you'd like to add to it, that would be fine. But reading the letter isn't really going to be very helpful.

MR. MAJOY: Then I'll just have a few words from my heart and soul.

I know everybody here is working

hard to reach some kind of an outcome regarding the environment and environmental This is a huge environmental issue, issues. and it must be addressed as such, especially given the state of global climate change. And I know many people like myself who are very, very deeply opposed to moving forward with Liberty Utilities' goal, as it has expressed itself. And everybody should understand that Liberty Utilities is owned by Kinder Morgan. And if you want to do some homework for yourself, all you have to do is go online and find out the relationship between Kinder Morgan and many of the horrible things that have happened with pipelines and fracking that they were part of and in charge of. I don't say simultaneous to that, that the people who work for Kinder Morgan are no good. They're doing their job as best they can. But that job, I hope, and the goals that they have, I hope, will be opposed by the people for the common good of our country and for the common good of the towns and local areas where these situations

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

are arising. Thank you. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Skuly.

MS. SKULY: Yes. Since you have assured us that our comments will be accepted and considered, I won't bother to reiterate those, other than to say that environmental oversight is of major concern to us. The record for Liberty Utilities and Kinder Morgan, you know, have shown that accidents do happen. And we definitely would like to see some contingency plans be in place and again with an environmental monitor during construction, if this should so happen. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.

You have a technical session scheduled. Is there anything else you need from us before we leave you to your technical session?

Sir, what can I do for you?

MR. DAVIS: My name is John Davis.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We use microphones here. Just use the one that's working and we'll be good. Doesn't sound

18-092} [Prehearing Conference] {09-05-18}

like it's on. Just make sure you're close enough to it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. DAVIS: My name's John Davis, and I believe I signed up when we came in that I would like to make a public comment.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'll write your name on the list I have in front of me. Why don't you go ahead.

MR. DAVIS: Yeah, it seems like this project, with all we've read in the newspapers is the main goal is to change over to natural gas from the propane and service the mall area of Keene. And I'm just wondering, when you think of the Public Utilities Commission, we mainly think about ratepayers and the price we have to pay for things. But I'm wondering if you're concerned about the health of people. is a poor air-quality place, and all reports and as new information comes out about natural gas, it appears it's not much cleaner than coal. So I'm wondering if it's a good idea to switch this over to natural gas where it's already an area of poor air quality.

1	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I guess I
2	would recommend that you confer with Mr.
3	Sheehan, Mr. Dexter and others about what's
4	going on in this proceeding and what's gong
5	on in other proceedings and what other
6	agencies of state government and local
7	government have responsibilities for all
8	kinds of issues that are relevant to a
9	company like Liberty when it engages in
10	whatever activities it engages in. We all
11	have very specific responsibilities, areas of
12	jurisdiction, and sometimes multiple areas of
13	jurisdiction within the same agency. I don't
14	think I can give you any more than that.
15	So, any other things we need to do
16	for you before we leave?
17	[No verbal response]
18	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. We
19	will adjourn the prehearing conference and
20	leave you to your technical session.
21	(Hearing adjourned at 1:58 p.m.)
22	
23	
24	

## CERTIFICATE

I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed
Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public
of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby
certify that the foregoing is a true and
accurate transcript of my stenographic
notes of these proceedings taken at the
place and on the date hereinbefore set
forth, to the best of my skill and ability
under the conditions present at the time.

I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action.

Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR
Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter
Registered Professional Reporter
N.H. LCR No. 44 (RSA 310-A:173)

	E GE TON THEEN E	EIGEITHIGHEEEGT	141 / 2	September 2, 2010
	— ahead (1)		change (2)	concerned (2)
ф	22:8	D	20:5;22:11	15:16;22:18
\$	$= \operatorname{air}^{22.0}(3)$	В	charge (1)	conditioned (1)
<b>420.000</b> (4)	6:18,19;22:24		20:17	16:23
\$30,000 (1)	air-quality (1)	back (1)	citizen (1)	confer (1)
10:2	22:19	4:5	15:18	23:2
<b>\$60- (2)</b>	allegations (1)	Bailey (2)	City (8)	conference (2)
10:1,4		13:13,14		
\$70,000 (2)	14:20	Barbara (1)	6:23;7:8,15;9:7;	3:5;23:19
10:1,4	allow (1)	17:11	12:11;15:19,23;	consideration (1)
-	14:23	begun (1)	16:12	16:19
[	alternatives (1)	13:10	claims (1)	considered (1)
	12:20	behalf (1)	14:20	21:6
[No (3)	although (1)	4:4	clarifications (1)	construct (1)
6:7;19:2;23:17	13:24	beneath (2)	6:13	3:7
	— American (1)	3:8;8:9	Clark (5)	construction (5)
${f A}$	14:5	benefit (1)	4:4,8,18,24;15:16	7:2;9:9,11,17;
	Andy (1)	12:4	Clark's (2)	21:14
Absolutely (1)	3:15	Best (2)	14:14;15:10	contingency (1)
12:8	appear (1)	14:2;20:20	cleaner (1)	21:12
abutter (1)	14:24	beyond (1)	22:21	contingent (1)
4:18	appearances (1)	15:8	clear (1)	16:21
accepted (1)	3:10	block (1)	15:15	continued (1)
21:5	appears (1)	8:21	client (2)	6:18
	22:21	both (1)	4:8;10:23	convenience (1)
access (1)	apply (1)	9:12	climate (1)	16:6
18:17	16:10		20:5	conversation (1)
accidents (1)	approach (1)	bother (1)	close (3)	18:20
21:10	11:13	21:6	9:4;19:8;22:1	conversations (1)
achieves (1)	appropriate (2)	bridge (7)	CMSR (1)	16:12
9:1	11:17;12:24	7:9,12;8:22,22;9:8,	13:14	conversion (1)
activities (1)	approval (4)	14;12:16	coal (1)	16:18
23:10	16:16,21,22,23	bridges (1)	22:22	convert (1)
actual (1)	approval's (1)	11:24	Coincidentally (1)	6:20
15:16	16:20	burden (1)	17:14	Corp's (1)
actually (1)	approve (2)	14:17	coming (1)	3:6
7:11	6:16;7:19	~	15:1	correction (1)
add (1)	,	C		6:21
19:19	area (3)		comment (2)	
adding (1)	16:14;22:13,24	came (2)	7:15;22:5	corrections (1)
9:1	areas (3)	10:16;22:4	commenter (1)	6:12
addition (1)	20:24;23:11,12	can (12)	18:16	correctly (1)
12:10	arising (1)	9:4,8;18:7,11,13,	comments (3)	6:24
addressed (2)	21:1	15,16,16,17;20:20;	12:22;17:5;21:5	cost (2)
15:11;20:4	articulated (3)	21:20;23:14	Commission (5)	9:20;10:4
adjourn (1)	4:22;5:11;10:8	case (3)	6:15;7:18;11:11;	council (3)
23:19	Ashuelot (6)	7:11;10:6;12:7	12:23;22:15	15:20,23;16:12
adjourned (1)	3:9;7:19;15:2;17:3,	certain (1)	Commissioner (1)	councilor (1)
23:21	7,12	5:16	13:12	15:19
advisement (1)	assertions (1)	certainly (2)	Commissioners (3)	Counsel (1)
5:19	14:16	10:22;15:8	3:13,20;4:7	3:21
Advisory (4)	assistant (1)	certainty (1)	Commission's (1)	country (1)
15:3;17:4,8,12	3:23	7:11	3:23	20:23
affecting (1)	assured (1)	<b>CFR</b> (1)	Committee (4)	couple (2)
8:3	21:5	13:23	15:3;17:4,8,13	6:12;16:13
afternoon (4)	Attorney (2)	CHAIRMAN (36)	common (2)	crossing (16)
3:3,4,12,19	4:7;15:14	3:2;4:2,9,14,17,21;	20:22,23	4:18,23;5:17;7:19,
	avoiding (1)	5:6,15;6:3,8;10:7,12,	Company (12)	23;8:17,20;9:1;10:9,
again (2)	16:7		3:11;4:10,12;6:24;	14;11:14,18;12:18,
14:13;21:13	aware (1)	17;11:4,7,11;12:6;	9:18,20;11:16;13:4,6,	24;16:4,5
agencies (1)	4:3	13:2,12,20;14:7,14;	8;18:6;23:9	crossings (4)
23:6	away (1)	17:2,12,14,18,23;	complex (1)	8:23;10:19;11:1,12
agency (1)	8:21	18:4;19:3,15;21:3,16,	9:10	customers (3)
23:13	0.21	22;22:6;23:1,18	concern (2)	8:24;9:5,15
agenda (2)		chance (3)	15:10;21:8	0.27,7.3,13
16:1,3		10:2;14:11;18:22	13.10,21.0	

ETITION FOR LICE	NSE FOR PIPELINE I	BENEATH ASHUELUT	RIVE	September 5, 20
	during (4)	15:8;17:5	7:6;16:1	4:4,5,6,7,17,20;5:4
_	during (4)		*	
D	9:9,11;10:19;21:13	favorable (1)	grounds (1)	14;6:2;10:22;11:2,0
		9:18	4:22	8;14:8,12,13;18:6
lata (2)	E	few (3)	Group (1)	_
13:5,7		11:21;14:11;19:23	14:6	I
late (1)	earlier (1)	filed (5)	guess (2)	
13:9	13:8	4:3;9:16;12:22;	15:22;23:1	idea (1)
	easement (3)	13:3;17:5	Guidelines (1)	22:23
lated (1)	6:22;7:1,4	filing (2)	14:3	identify (1)
11:5	easements (2)	15:2;17:4	guys (1)	17:9
DAVIS (5)				
21:21,21;22:3,3,9	7:3;12:9	find (1)	18:9	impact (2)
decent (1)	editorial (1)	20:13	**	8:10;10:5
10:2	7:14	<b>fine</b> (1)	H	incorporates (1)
deeply (1)	else (3)	19:19		14:2
20:7	3:10;18:24;21:18	first (3)	half (1)	information (2)
defer (1)	end (1)	8:5;9:2;19:7	9:19	10:24;22:20
13:18	13:3	folks (1)	half-mile (1)	install (2)
	energy (1)	18:20	16:4	7:20;8:6
lefinitely (1)	12:20	follow (1)	hanging (1)	installed (1)
21:11	EnergyNorth (2)	5:22	8:21	8:22
lesigned (1)				
3:16	3:6,14	follows (1)	happen (3)	intend (1)
DEXTER (11)	engages (2)	8:20	8:12;21:11,14	15:14
3:19,20;4:14,15;	23:9,10	forth (1)	happened (1)	intent (1)
11:9,10;12:7,8;13:6,	engineer (1)	15:10	20:15	15:16
18;23:3	3:16	forward (4)	happens (1)	intention (2)
DG (2)	enough (4)	15:12,24;16:17;	18:18	10:8;17:19
	12:3,4;19:8;22:2	20:7	hard (1)	interact (1)
3:4;16:18	environment (1)	fracking (1)	20:1	5:23
different (1)	20:2	20:16	HDD (2)	interest (1)
18:18	environmental (4)	front (2)	8:12;13:16	5:17
direct (1)				
5:16	20:2,3;21:7,13	16:17;22:7	health (1)	interested (2)
directed (1)	especially (1)	further (1)	22:18	11:23;12:13
16:13	20:4	7:13	hear (1)	interference (1)
directional (2)	even (1)	future (1)	6:5	8:14
8:8;14:4	16:2	7:13	heard (1)	intervene (6)
director (3)	everybody (2)		16:12	4:3,11;5:8,18;6:1;
	19:24;20:9	G	hearing (2)	15:18
3:22,23;13:19	everyone (1)	9	15:13;23:21	intervenor (5)
discovery (7)	3:3	Gas (11)	heart (1)	
11:21;13:4;14:21,				17:6,19;18:3,13,21
22;15:5,8;16:24	exact (1)	3:6,8,14;6:17,20;	19:23	investigation (2)
Discussion (1)	13:9	12:20,21;16:14;	helpful (1)	11:15;13:11
18:1	exercised (1)	22:12,21,23	19:21	involvement (1)
Division (1)	8:3	generally (1)	homework (1)	15:20
3:18	existing (3)	18:11	20:12	issue (3)
Divisions (1)	8:20;9:4,9	given (2)	HONIGBERG (33)	5:24;13:7;20:3
	explore (1)	16:20;20:5	3:2;4:2,9,14,17,21;	issues (5)
3:24	15:15	global (1)	5:6,15;6:3,8;10:7,12,	14:23;15:4,13;
Docket (6)	expressed (1)	20:5	17;11:4,7;12:6;13:2,	20:3;23:8
3:4;4:13;10:14;				20.3,23.8
11:1;13:1;19:18	20:9	goal (2)	12,20;14:7;17:2,14,	_
dockets (2)	extent (1)	20:8;22:11	18,23;18:4;19:3,15;	J
11:12,14	8:14	goals (2)	21:3,16,22;22:6;23:1,	
done (2)		9:2;20:21	18	<b>job</b> (3)
16:9;18:8	$\mathbf{F}$	goes (2)	hope (2)	18:9;20:19,20
down (2)		12:17;15:12	20:20,21	John (2)
, ,	fact (1)	gong (1)	hoping (1)	21:21;22:3
9:4;16:10	16:10	23:4	9:16	Joining (1)
drainage (1)	factual (1)	Good (10)	horizontal (2)	3:22
12:15	, ,	, ,	, ,	
drill (1)	14:16	3:2,12,19;14:3;	8:7;14:3	June (1)
8:8	fall (1)	18:9;20:19,22,23;	horrible (1)	11:5
drilling (2)	9:21	21:24;22:22	20:15	jurisdiction (2)
8:13;9:18	familiar (1)	government (2)	huge (1)	23:12,13
		23:6,7	20:3	,
· ·	10:9	43.0,7	20.5	
drills (1) 14:4	10:9 <b>far (2)</b>	granted (2)	<b>Husband (17)</b>	

TETITION TOR EICE		ASHUELOT		September 3, 2010
	- 14:1	Mills (1)	14:8	paragraph (5)
K	looking (3)	3:15	noted (1)	6:14,22,24;7:3,8
	12:9;14:15;16:20	minutes (1)	15:4	part (5)
Kasper (1)	lose (1)	14:11	Notice (1)	4:23;13:23,23;
4:1	9:22	moment (1)	13:22	19:17;20:16
Keene (15)	lot (1)	18:23	noticed (1)	participate (3)
3:9,17;4:24;5:5,7;	11:11	monitor (1)	15:13	5:20;18:7,10
6:23;7:5,8,9,11,15;	lots (1)	21:13	number (3)	particular (2)
15:19;16:15;22:13,	18:15	month (1)	10:11;11:3;15:4	8:24;9:20
18	M	15:22 more (7)	O	particularly (1)
kind (2)	IVI	5:10,12;7:10;9:10;	U	11:22 parties (2)
5:16;20:1	ma'am (1)	10:24;11:3;23:14	object (1)	5:23;6:5
Kinder (4)	17:9	Morgan (4)	4:13	parts (1)
20:11,14,18;21:9	main (1)	20:11,14,19;21:10	objection (1)	19:13
kinds (1)	22:11	moving (1)	4:15	party (2)
23:8	mainly (1)	20:7	observe (1)	4:24;5:2
Knepper (3)	22:15	much (3)	18:16	Pass (1)
3:24;13:20,21	maintain (2)	10:1;21:2;22:21	obtain (1)	10:13
known (1)	3:8;6:16	Mullen (2)	7:5	Paul (2)
18:8	major (1)	3:15;10:20	obtained (2)	3:20;4:1
knows (2)	21:8	multiple (1)	12:10,11	pause (1)
15:20;16:3	Majoy (3)	23:12	obviously (1)	8:13
${f L}$	19:6,12,22	must (3)	18:10	pay (1)
<b>L</b>	makes (1)	7:23;14:16;20:4	off (5)	22:16
largely (1)	14:15	myself (1)	6:9;7:13;9:8;	people (7)
15:11	mall (2)	20:6	17:24;18:1	14:10;16:14;17:15;
larger (1)	16:14;22:13		one (11)	20:6,18,22;22:18
4:24	manager (1)	N	4:3;8:5;9:9;11:3,	period (2)
last (2)	3:17		24;12:10;16:1,7,9;	14:22;15:8
7:7;16:13	many (4)	name (4)	19:5;21:23	permission (1)
later (2)	14:15,23;20:6,14	3:20;17:15;21:21;	online (1)	19:13
5:24;7:2	matter (3)	22:7	20:13	permits (1)
law (1)	3:21;5:8,19	name's (1)	only (2)	15:22
7:21	matters (1)	22:3	4:21;8:23	Peter (1)
leave (3)	6:4	Natural (9)	opening (2)	19:5
21:19;23:16,20	may (6)	3:6,8,14;6:16,20;	6:14;7:8	petition (21)
letter (6)	7:13;8:2,11;10:20;	12:20;22:12,21,23	opportunity (1)	3:7;4:3,11,13,16;
18:9,12;19:10,14,	13:3;19:10	nearby (1)	14:19	5:12,17;6:1,13;7:6,
16,20	mean (1)	8:21	opposed (2)	14,18,22;9:16;12:12,
Liberty (8)	18:9	necessary (3)	20:7,22	17;13:2;14:15,20;
3:5,13;7:24;8:17;	meantime (1)	7:24;8:17;12:19	order (3)	15:11;16:16
20:8,10;21:9;23:9	17:1	need (4)	5:24;10:9;13:22	petitioner (1)
Liberty's (1)	meet (3) 7:24;8:17;12:4	6:23;10:24;21:18; 23:15	ordinary (1) 15:18	14:18 petitioning (1)
4:23		needed (1)	others (2)	15:17
license (3)	meets (1) 13:23	15:23	10:23;23:3	piece (1)
3:7;6:16;8:2	member (3)	needs (1)	out (4)	9:6
limits (1)	5:21;18:14,15	12:11	10:16;14:4;20:13;	pipe (7)
10:18	members (2)	new (3)	22:20	7:20;8:6,23;9:4,5,
line (2)	14:9;19:1	7:20;9:5;22:20	outcome (1)	8;12:13
6:17;8:24	mentioned (2)	newspapers (1)	20:1	pipeline (5)
list (2)	12:16,22	22:11	over (2)	3:8;6:17;13:17;
17:15;22:7	mentions (1)	next (4)	22:11,23	16:5,11
listed (1)	12:12	10:3;11:9;15:22;	oversight (1)	pipelines (2)
13:21 <b>Local (4)</b>	mic (1)	16:6	21:8	16:8;20:16
17:3,12;20:24;23:6	19:8	normally (1)	own (1)	place (4)
locating (1)	microphones (1)	5:23	15:5	7:1;10:17;21:12;
16:6	21:23	North (1)	owned (1)	22:19
location (1)	might (2)	14:5	20:10	placed (1)
4:19	10:21;18:21	Northern (1)		16:2
look (4)	Mike (1)	10:13	P	plan (3)
11:19;13:22,24;	3:13	note (1)		8:6,19;12:19
11.17,13.22,24,		` ′		, ,

PETITION FOR LICE	NSE FOR PIPELINE B	ENEATH ASHUELOT	RIVE	September 5, 2018
nlane (5)	PUC (2)	17:3	review (6)	8:24
<b>plans (5)</b> 4:24;7:12;11:19;	14:17;15:14	referred (1)	10:18,21,23;11:1;	service (5)
		12:3		8:1,19;9:2,14;
13:15;21:12 Please (2)	<b>pulling (1)</b> 10:20	regarding (1)	12:20;13:15 reviewed (1)	22:12
3:3;17:10	purported (1)	20:2	10:15	session (6)
pm (1)	16:6	reiterate (1)	right (12)	5:22;11:20;15:9;
23:21	purpose (2)	21:6	4:2,9;5:13,14,16;	21:17,19;23:20
point (1)	10:8,18	related (1)	6:3;11:7;14:7;19:3,7;	set (1)
11:17	put (1)	5:1	21:16;23:18	15:10
poor (2)	14:5	relating (1)	rights (3)	several (1)
22:19,24	14.5	10:13	8:4,10,15	12:22
position (5)	Q	relationship (1)	risk (1)	SHEEHAN (10)
4:10;7:17;10:5;	~	20:13	9:22	3:12,13;4:12;6:9,
11:18;14:14	quality (2)	relevant (1)	River (10)	11;10:11,15;11:21;
positions (2)	18:12;22:24	23:8	3:9;7:19;8:7,9,11;	12:3;23:3
6:6;18:8	quote (1)	reliability (2)	15:3;16:4;17:3,8,12	show (2)
possibility (1)	9:18	9:6,13	Rokes (1)	7:23;10:8
11:24	quotes (2)	reliable (2)	3:17	shown (1)
potentially (1)	9:24;10:3	8:1,18	room (1)	21:10
11:20	7.2 1,10.0	relief (1)	9:21	shut (1)
Practices (2)	R	6:15	roughly (1)	16:9
14:2,3		remarks (1)	9:19	side (2)
prayer (1)	raised (1)	11:9	rules (1)	16:8,8
6:15	14:24	renewables (1)	14:17	signed (1)
prehearing (2)	Randy (1)	12:21		22:4
3:5;23:19	3:24	repair (4)	$\mathbf{S}$	significant (2)
preliminary (3)	range (1)	7:12;9:7,13;12:15		12:3,4
6:4,5,10	10:4	repaired (1)	Safety (3)	similarly (1)
price (1)	ratepayers (1)	12:1	3:23;13:15,19	9:7
22:16	22:16	repairing (1)	same (1)	simultaneous (1)
prior (1)	reach (1)	7:9	23:13	20:17
7:1	20:1	replace (1)	schedule (2)	situation (1)
probably (5)	read (4)	7:12	9:21,22	11:23
9:24;13:24;14:1;	10:16;12:7;19:13;	reports (1)	scheduled (1)	situations (1)
18:5,6	22:10	22:19	21:17	20:24
problem (1)	reading (2)	representing (1)	scope (4)	SKULY (8)
9:3	19:16,19	3:21	10:14,18;11:1;	17:11,11,17,21;
proceeding (6)	ready (1)	represents (1)	12:24	18:2;19:4;21:3,4
9:23;10:19;15:12;	15:21	17:7	seated (1)	slightly (1)
16:22;17:20;23:4	really (4)	requested (1)	3:3	18:18
proceedings (4)	16:10,15,21;19:20	8:2	second (4)	sometimes (1)
5:1;10:13;18:11;	reasonable (3)	requests (2)	6:21;8:5;9:1,12	23:12
23:5	7:24;8:18;9:14	13:5,7	Security (1)	soul (1)
<b>project (5)</b> 3:16;15:17,21,24;	reasonably (1)	require (1) 14:21	3:24	19:23 sound (1)
	12:19		seek (3)	` /
22:10 <b>proof (1)</b>	reasons (2) 7:20;9:13	required (1) 7:4	17:6,19;18:21 seeking (1)	21:24 speak (6)
14:18	*	requirement (1)	18:2	14:10;17:16;18:5,
propane (4)	received (2) 10:1;19:11	8:16	seem (1)	22;19:1,6
6:18,19;12:21;	recently (1)	requirements (4)	16:10	specific (3)
22:12	10:16	7:21;8:1,18;13:23	seemed (1)	5:10,12;23:11
proposed (2)	recommend (3)	resident (1)	7:10	Staff (17)
7:23;16:4	10:22;12:6;23:2	5:4	seems (4)	3:11,20,21;4:10,
proposing (1)	recommendation (1)	residents (1)	16:11,13,15;22:9	15;11:12,19,22;12:8,
8:19	11:16	5:7	sees (1)	13,19;13:3,7,10,14;
proven (1)	record (4)	responded (1)	11:11	15:14;18:5
14:16	17:24;18:1;19:17;	13:8	sense (1)	standard (3)
public (9)	21:9	response] (3)	18:21	12:5;14:1,4
5:21;8:2,4;14:9;	redundancy (2)	6:7;19:2;23:17	sent (2)	standing (2)
18:14,15;19:1;22:5,	9:3;12:2	responses (2)	19:10,14	5:8,18
14	refer (1)	13:10;15:6	serve (1)	start (3)
public's (2)	6:22	responsibilities (2)	9:5	6:9,12;19:9
8:10,15	reference (1)	23:7,11	serves (1)	started (1)
-				

ETITIONTOREICE	A 10E I ON I II EEII 1E B	ENERTH MONEEUT	M v E	September 2, 2010
13:3	15:21	6:7;19:2;23:17	192 (1)	
starting (1)	Terry (1)	versus (2)	13:24	
16:17	4:8	10:2;12:21		
state (2)	test (1)	virtually (1)	2	
20:5;23:6	14:19	18:17		_
stated (2)	therefore (1)		2016 (1)	
7:22;14:10	5:2	$\mathbf{W}$	11:5	
statement (2)	third (1)	. (4)	25,910 (2)	
7:2,10	11:8	waters (1)	10:10;11:4	
STATEMENTS (1)	thorough (1)	8:4	28 (1)	
6:10 <b>states</b> (1)	11:14 timing (1)	ways (1) 18:7	11:5	
6:24	12:1	welcome (1)	4	
status (7)	today (4)	5:20	<b>T</b>	
12:14,14;17:6,19;	3:22;4:8;5:20;7:16	West (1)	49 (1)	
18:3,19,22	today's (1)	12:12	13:23	
statutory (1)	15:9	what's (3)	13.23	-
12:5	together (1)	11:15;23:3,4	6	
stay (1)	14:5	who's (1)		_
5:21	touch (1)	3:17	6 (1)	
Steve (2)	8:8	Winchester (1)	6:22	
3:15,16	toughed (1)	12:16		
still (3)	11:21	wish (3)		
9:4;14:24;16:19	towns (1)	14:10;17:16;19:1		
Street (2)	20:24	within (1)		
12:13,16	Trenchless (1)	23:13		
subject (1)	14:6	without (1)		
16:18	turn (3)	8:3		
submitted (1)	9:8;14:8;18:24	wondering (3)		
11:15 substantially (1)	twice (1) 10:1	22:14,17,22 words (1)		
8:3	two (6)	19:23		
suggestion (1)	9:2,24;11:23;	work (2)		
7:7	15:22;16:8;19:4	16:8;20:18		
suggests (1)	typically (1)	work-around (1)		
7:14	9:19	9:11		
summer (1)		working (4)		
13:9	U	13:4;17:22;19:24;		
supplying (1)		21:24		
16:14	ultimately (1)	works (1)		
sure (3)	16:23	5:3		
8:12;19:8;22:1 switch (1)	under (3)	write (1) 22:6		
22:23	5:19;7:21;16:19 underneath (1)	wrote (1)		
system (2)	8:7	17:13		
6:20;9:12	up (5)	17.13		
	5:10;10:20;15:1;	Y		
${f T}$	16:16;22:4			
	upgrade (2)	year (2)		
talking (1)	12:12,14	9:17;10:3		
7:8	use (3)	years (1)		
tech (1)	8:10;21:22,23	16:13		
11:20	used (2)	1		
technical (5)	6:17,19	1		
5:22;15:9;21:17, 19;23:20	Utilities (5) 3:6,14;20:10;21:9;	1.59 (1)		
Technology (1)	22:15	1:58 (1) 23:21		
14:6	Utilities' (1)	10 (1)		
telling (2)	20:8	7:3		
5:7,9		17-068 (1)		
temporary (2)	$\mathbf{V}$	16:18		
8:11,13		18-092 (1)		
terms (1)	verbal (3)	3:4		
	1	1		